MN

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/797,547	03/10/2004	Jay McNally	G-231	4408
919 7590 04/13/2007 PITNEY BOWES INC.		EXAMINER		
35 WATERVIEW DRIVE P.O. BOX 3000 MSC 26-22 SHELTON, CT 06484-8000			EHNE, CHARLES	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2113	
SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD OF RESPONSE		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MONTHS		04/13/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

Office Action Summary The MAILING DATE of this communication appea	S SET TO EXPIRE <u>3</u> MONTH(Applicant(s) MCNALLY ET AL. Art Unit 2113 orrespondence address			
Office Action Summary The MAILING DATE of this communication appea	Examiner Charles Ehne ers on the cover sheet with the co	Art Unit			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appea	Charles Ehne ors on the cover sheet with the cover	2113			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appea	S SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(
	S SET TO EXPIRE <u>3</u> MONTH(
Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DAT - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will a - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, call Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	a). In no event, however, may a reply be timed apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the use the application to become ABANDONED	l. ely filed the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 31 January 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is in condition for allowance closed in accordance with the practice under Exp	ction is non-final. e except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or e					
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exam	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign pr a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents h 2. Certified copies of the priority documents h 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau (file * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	nave been received. nave been received in Application of documents have been receive PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa	te			

Art Unit: 2113

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 4-7 and 11-14 recite the limitation "the further data storage" in line 1.

There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Vargas (2004/0204085).

As to claim 1, Vargas discloses a system for recovering electronic documents archived in a data storage mechanism comprising:

a computer connected with said data storage mechanism, where the computer retrieves a stored data file comprising electronic document data information and further

Art Unit: 2113

wherein the retrieved stored data file is analyzed based on file content and file characteristics, and categorized into one or more of a plurality of distinct categories (Page 6, ¶0072, lines 10-18 & ¶0073 lines 1-4 & ¶0075 lines 1-4).

As to claim 2, Vargas discloses the system of claim 1, wherein the computer is connected via a network connection to the data storage mechanism (Figure 1, Page 2, ¶0029, lines 8-16).

As to claim 3, Vargas discloses the system of claim 1, wherein the computer is connected via a wireless network connection to the data storage mechanism (Figure 1, Page 2, ¶0029, lines 8-16).

As to claim 4, Vargas discloses the system of claim 1, wherein the further data storage mechanism is a hard drive (Page 3, ¶0035, lines 12-16).

As to claim 5, Vargas discloses the system of claim 1, wherein the further data storage mechanism is a tape drive (Page 3, ¶0035, lines 12-16).

As to claim 6, Vargas discloses the system of claim 1, wherein the further data storage mechanism is a DVD (Page 3, ¶0035, lines 12-16).

As to claim 7, Vargas discloses the system of claim 1, wherein the further data storage mechanism is a CD-ROM (Page 3, ¶0035, lines 12-16).

As to claim 8, Vargas discloses a method for recovering electronic documents archived in a data storage mechanism comprising the steps of:

providing a computer with access to said data storage mechanism (Figure 1, Page 6, ¶0072, lines 1-5);

Art Unit: 2113

retrieving a stored data file comprising original electronic document data information from the data storage mechanism (Page 6, ¶0072, lines 10-18); and

further analyzing the retrieved stored data file based on file content and file characteristics, and categorizing into one or more of a plurality of distinct categories (Page 6, ¶0073 lines 1-4 & ¶0075 lines 1-4).

As to claim 9, Vargas discloses the method of claim 8, wherein the computer is connected via a network connection to the data storage mechanism (Figure 1, Page 2, ¶0029, lines 8-16).

As to claim 10, Vargas discloses the method of claim 8, wherein the computer is connected via a wireless network connection to the data storage mechanism (Figure 1, Page 2, ¶0029, lines 8-16).

As to claim 11, Vargas discloses the method of claim 8, wherein the further data storage mechanism is a hard drive (Page 3, ¶0035, lines 12-16).

As to claim 12, Vargas discloses the method of claim 8, wherein the further data storage mechanism is a tape drive (Page 3, ¶0035, lines 12-16).

As to claim 13, Vargas discloses the method of claim 8, wherein the further data storage mechanism is a DVD (Page 3, ¶0035, lines 12-16).

As to claim 14, Vargas discloses the method of claim 8, wherein the further data storage mechanism is a CD-ROM (Page 3, ¶0035, lines 12-16).

As to claim 15, Vargas discloses the system of claim 1 wherein the stored data file is analyzed using the file's last modified date, file size or MD5 hash value (Page 6, ¶0070).

Art Unit: 2113

As to claim 16, Vargas discloses the method of claim 8 wherein said step of analyzing using the file's last modified date, file size or MD5 hash value (Page 6, ¶0070).

As to claim 17, Vargas discloses a method for recovering electronic documents archived in a data storage mechanism comprising the steps of:

providing a computer with access to said data storage mechanism (Figure 1, Page 6, ¶0072, lines 1-5);

retrieving a stored data file comprising electronic document data information from the data storage mechanism (Page 6, ¶0072, lines 10-18);

analyzing the retrieved stored data file based on file content and file characteristics (Page 6, ¶0073, lines 1-4);

categorizing into one or more of a plurality of distinct categories (Page 6, ¶0075, lines 1-4); and

comparing said stored data file to detect deletions or modifications (Page 6, ¶0073, lines 1-4).

As to claim 18, Vargas discloses the method of claim 17 wherein said step of analyzing using the file's last modified date, file size or MD5 hash value (Page 6, ¶0070).

As to claim 19, Vargas discloses the system of claim 1 further comprising a comparison of said stored data files to detect deletions or modifications (Page 6, ¶0073, lines 1-4).

Art Unit: 2113

As to claim 20, Vargas discloses the method of claim 8 further comprising a comparison of said stored data files to detect deletions or modifications (Page 6, ¶0073, lines 1-4).

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Charles Ehne whose telephone number is (571)-272-2471. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 8:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert Beausoliel can be reached on (571)-272-3645. The fax phone

Application/Control Number: 10/797,547 Page 7

Art Unit: 2113

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Roberts Beausol of